### North East Derbyshire District Council

#### **Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee**

## **11 September 2019**

Risk Management Update, Partnership Working and Strategic Risk Register

## Report of the Chief Accountant and Section 151 Officer

This report is public

#### **Purpose of the Report**

 To update Members of the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee of the current position regarding Risk Management and Partnership Arrangements and the Strategic Risk Register as at 31 March 2019.

## 1 Report Details

### **Background**

- 1.1. The Council's Strategic Risk Register has been developed with consideration to the strategic and operational risks which have been identified by Members and Officers as part of the Council's risk, service management and quarterly performance arrangements.
- 1.2. In its approach to risk management the Council is seeking to secure a number of objectives and to operate in line with recognised best practice. In order to appreciate the importance of risk management it is useful to reiterate these objectives:
  - To improve the way in which the Council manages its key risks so as to reduce the likelihood of them happening, and to mitigate the impact in those cases where they do materialise. This is a key element in protecting service delivery arrangements, the financial position and the reputation of the Council.
  - To strengthen the overall management arrangements of the Council. From a governance perspective the effective operation of risk management is a key element of the managerial framework operating within a council.
  - Effective risk management is a key component in ensuring that organisations are able to achieve their objectives, and that key projects proceed in line with plan.
  - The identification of the risks attached to existing service delivery, or to a project or new initiative allows a fully informed decision to be made, and helps

- ensure that all appropriate measures to mitigate (or reduce) the risk are in place from the outset.
- An appreciation of the risk environment within which the Council operates assists in determining an appropriate level of financial reserves, whilst ensuring the organisation has a good awareness of its overall risk exposure.

## The Strategic Risk Register

- 1.3. The revised Strategic Risk Register as at 31 March 2019 is set out in **Appendix 1** for consideration by this Committee. The intention is that this review of the Register will secure the following objectives:
  - Identify any newly emerging risks which need to be added to the Register and removing any risks that have been resolved to maintain a focus on current risks.
  - To revisit risk score assessments and ensure that appropriate mitigation remains in place.
- 1.4. A key theme which emerges from the Strategic Risk Register is an ongoing requirement to maintain performance levels in respect of service delivery, performance and governance and ensuring that the Council mitigates the risk of a catastrophic event or service failure impacting upon our community. This objective needs to be secured against a background of both declining and less certainty concerning financial resources. Allied to the financial position, local authorities are faced with significant legislative change impacting upon the financial framework and systems within which Council's operate. Any such change may impact on the way in which services to local residents are delivered with the potential to disrupt service provision.
- 1.5. The current Strategic Risk Register identifies the following risks:
  - 1. Legislative change at a national level including uncertainty surrounding Brexit
  - 2. Failure to deliver a balanced budget over the life of the MTFP
  - 3. Significant operational service failure
  - 4. Emergency planning and business continuity arrangements fail to meet required standards
  - 5. Increasing difficulty to recruit and retain appropriately qualified staff
  - 6. Failure to deliver against the Council's Plan targets
  - 7. Failure to provide effective community leadership
  - 8. Lack of governance arrangements robust enough to deal with a rapidly changing environment
  - 9. Decrease in staff morale/increase in sickness levels
  - 10. Failure to have robust, comprehensive policies/procedures in place for safeguarding children and vulnerable adults.
  - 11. Failure of the Local Plan to be found sound at examination
  - 12. Impact of HS2 and electrification of the Midland Main Line

Full details of the strategic risks identified above and the mitigations currently in place can be found in a copy of the register at **Appendix 1**.

#### **Partnership Arrangements**

- 1.6 Under the Council's Risk Management Strategy (including Partnership Working), a range of strategic partnerships are reported on and monitored within the Council's quarterly report processes in respect of Risk. These are complementary to the reports presented to Cabinet by the Partnerships Team in respect of the partnerships they co-ordinate.
- 1.9 This report sets out what might be termed as the Council's key partnerships. These are as follows:
  - The relationship with the North Midlands authorities (Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire) and Sheffield City Region in progressing the economic development and devolution agenda.
  - Rykneld Homes, the Council's Arms Length Management Housing provider.
  - The Strategic Alliance with Bolsover District Council which is central to the transformation agenda of delivering services at lower costs whilst enhancing service resilience.
  - Shared Services arrangements with Chesterfield Borough Council (Internal Audit) and Derbyshire Dales District Council (Joint ICT) which help secure cost effective arrangements.
  - Arrangements with Derbyshire County Council to secure aligned services across the public sector in areas such as health and economic development.
  - The Community Safety Team and associated statutory partners including the Police.
- 1.10 Although the partnerships outlined above are very different in terms of scope and working arrangements they all have in place formal governance arrangements between the partners, supported by appropriate internal governance arrangements which cover performance, finance and risk. Appropriate approvals have been agreed as required by the Council's constitution. The arrangements in place are intended to be risk based and proportionate to the risks of the Council.
- 1.11 The risks facing the Council are many and varied and the approach to managing those risks should be applied within decision making processes. Risks will change over time so need continual monitoring. The approach to risk management should also be continuous with a structured review process. It is proposed therefore that a comprehensive review of the Council's risk management framework be undertaken at this time to ensure that the continued effective and systematic management of risk is achieved.

### 2 Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation

2.1. The Strategic Risk Register is intended to highlight the major areas where the Council needs to manage its risks effectively. One of the key purposes of this report is to set out the risks that have been identified in the Strategic Risk Register and to encourage both Members and Officers to actively consider whether the Strategic Risk Register and supporting Service Risk Registers actively cover all of the issues facing the Council. It is proposed that a comprehensive review of the Council's risk management framework be undertaken at this time to ensure that the continued effective and systematic management of risk is achieved. The section on

Partnerships serves to highlight the extent of these working arrangements, together with the approach that has been adopted for their effective management.

## 3 Consultation and Equality Impact

3.1. There are no consultation or equalities issues arising from this report which necessitate a formal consultation process.

## 4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

4.1. Under relevant good practice and to facilitate the development of robust managerial arrangements the Council is required to prepare a Strategic Risk Register as part of its risk management framework. This report is intended for Members and Officers to consider both the Strategic Risk Register, together with the Council's wider framework for managing risk and partnerships. Given the importance of these arrangements for the overall governance of the Council it is necessary to subject them to regular review. The alternative of not providing this is therefore rejected.

## 5 **Implications**

## 5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

- 5.1.1 There are no additional financial implications arising out of this report. Whilst, where appropriate, additional mitigation measures have been identified and implemented during the course of preparing the Strategic and Operational Risk Registers, the cost of implementing this mitigation will be met from within previously agreed budgets.
- 5.1.2 Risk Management Issues are covered throughout the body of the main report.

#### 5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

5.2.1 There are no legal or data protection issues arising directly out of this report.

### 5.3 Human Resources Implications

5.3.1 There are no human resource issues arising directly out of this report.

#### 6 Recommendations

6.1. That the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee notes the report and Strategic Risk Register as at 31 March 2019 as set out in **Appendix 1**.

# 7 <u>Decision Information</u>

A Key De impact on or expend	No			
BDC:	Revenue - £75,000 Capital - £150,000 □			
NEDDC:	Revenue - £100,000			
☑ Please	☑ Please indicate which threshold applies			
Is the ded (Only Key	No			
Has the r	Yes			
District V	None directly			
Links to	All			

# 8. <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title			
1	Strategic Risk Register as at 31 Marc	h 2019		
<b>Background Papers</b> (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)				
Service Plan Ris	sk Registers			
Report Author Contact Number				
Lee Hickin – Joint Strategic Director People 7218				

AGIN 7(b) - Risk Management

## STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER SUMMARY AS AT: 31 March 2019

	Risk	Consequences	Risk Score (Likelihood x Impact)	Risk Score (Likelihood x Impact)Taking into Account Current Controls	Risk Owner / Lead Officer
1	Parliamentary uncertainty following the General Election, Government Legislation / impact of referendum vote to leave the EU / adverse external economic climate has an accelerating impact on Council funding, or upon the local economy, to which Council is unable to adopt an appropriate change of Strategic direction. The decision to leave the EU	<ul> <li>Unable to deliver a package of services that both addresses changing national priorities whilst meeting changing local needs and aspirations.</li> <li>Increases costs or reduces resources available to the Council directly, or to its key partners.</li> <li>Reduced influence over delivery of local services.</li> <li>Unable to effectively support local communities.</li> <li>Increased demands on Council services at a time when Council resource base is reducing.</li> </ul>	4,4, 16	3,4 <b>12</b>	SAMT / Political Leadership

	creates significant uncertainties whilst there is a significant programme of legislative change which impacts directly upon local government.				
	<ul><li>might be adop</li><li>The Council h</li><li>Appropriate le delivery.</li></ul>	s outward looking and actively works to oted to mitigate against associated risk has effective political and managerial and evels of financial reserves / investment agement with staff to ensure they embr	s, including working to rrangements in place nt funding are mainta	identify new incom to manage change. ined to fund strateg	e streams.
2	Failure to deliver a balanced budget in line with the MTFP, at a time when the Council's reserves are at an acceptable rather than a robust level, and localism has created significant uncertainties re future funding levels.	<ul> <li>Impact upon ability to deliver current level of services.</li> <li>Unable to resource acceptable levels of service.</li> <li>Significant adverse reputational Impact.</li> </ul>	4,4 16	3,4 12	SAMT / Chief Executive / Chief Financial Officer / Political Leadership

	<u>Mitigation</u>							
	The Council has	s effective financial management in p	lace to ensure budge	t arrangements are i	robust.			
	The Council has	The Council has appropriate managerial arrangements and culture in place to manage any necessary change.						
		s 'adequate' financial reserves in pla	•	• •	, ,			
3	The Council is affected by a operational service failure which has a major impact upon the local community, this impact being reflected in the Council's sustainability and reputation. Failure could arise from services – inc Data Protection – failing to adhere to best practice. Resulting in a potential impact upon the Council's ability to secure its corporate objectives. Given the efficiency measures that have been	A significant service failure associated with a major impact on the local community.  Deterioration in services to the public, potentially a major impact upon a local resident or a group of local residents.  Significant staff and financial resources required to resolve position, impacting on other services.  A major service has its operating capacity significantly impact and is required to introduce major reform in its approach to service delivery.	3,5 <b>15</b>	2,5 10	SAMT / Assistant Directors			

	introduced to date this is considered to be an increasing issue for the Council.				
	<ul><li>ensure these risk</li><li>The Council has with good practic</li></ul>	appropriate managerial arrangements are effectively managed.  a Performance Management Framewoode and industry standards. On going re service performance are effectively	ork in place to help er monitoring and regu	nsure that services a lar reporting will he	are delivered in line Ip ensure that any
4.	Emergency Planning and Business Continuity arrangements fail to meet required standards when tested by flu pandemic, natural disaster (flood), etc. Cyber crime with a loss of data / systems, results in the inability to provide core services and reputational damage.	<ul> <li>Inability of Council to provide services as a consequence of a severe catastrophic external event (e.g. flooding, major terrorist incident, flu pandemic, fire, cyber crime).</li> <li>Failure of IT infrastructure, leading to inability to effectively operate services and to safeguard income streams.</li> <li>Business Continuity Plans prove ineffective in practice.</li> </ul>	3,4 <b>12</b>	2,4 8	SAMT / Chief Executive

#### Mitigation

- The Council works in partnership with a range of partners on its Emergency Planning arrangements to ensure that we operate in line with best practice. There is an annual 'desktop' scenario to test officers understanding of the arrangements and validate that they are fit for purpose in a realistic 'trial' scenario.
- All services have Business Continuity plans in place which identify key risks and mitigation. Corporate IT systems have been tested against Industry standards for Business Continuity.
- The Council works in partnership with a range of other agencies that should be able to provide support in the event of the Council's own procedures failing to be effective.

3.4 **12** 

• The Council has in place industry standard measures to minimise the risk of cyber crime.

5	Increasing difficulty in
	recruiting to key
	posts or in
	replacing key staff
	who leave. Staff
	morale is
	adversely affected
	arising from the
	pace of change,
	tightening financial
	circumstances or
	external
	circumstances.

- Deterioration in services to the public.
- Increasing inefficiencies in service provision.
- Weakening of Internal Control arrangements.

#### 2.48 SAMT Asst Director HR

Increased pressure on other members of staff.

#### Mitigation

- The Council has effective communication and working with staff as validated by securing 'silver' accreditation at IIP.
- There is sufficient funding to bring in agency staff where required to maintain service performance.
- At this stage the problematic areas are those where there are national 'shortages'. In the majority of areas it has proved possible to recruit appropriate replacement staff.

	quality / conti	raining budgets are in place to ensu nuity. is looking to introduce appropriate ap			
6	Delivery of the Council's Agenda is dependent upon effective delivery of both a number of major initiatives / projects and implementing a range of new government reforms whilst achieving financial targets and maintaining service quality, which may overstretch our reduced organisational capacity.	<ul> <li>New initiatives are not delivered in a cost-effective manner.</li> <li>Failure to maintain / improve services in line with local aspirations.</li> <li>Failure to generate the savings required to balance the budget.</li> <li>Financial savings measures weaken Governance / Internal Control arrangements.</li> <li>Service deterioration / failure arising from capacity issues.</li> </ul>	3,4 12	2,4 8	SAMT / Chief Executive

## **Mitigation**

- The Council has effective prioritisation and project management arrangements in place to ensure resources are directed at key objectives.
- The Council has made efforts to ensure effective use of employees by utilising shared services to protect service resilience, by maintaining appropriate training arrangements and by investing in transformational service delivery projects.
- The Council has a robust performance management framework that is intended to highlight emerging issues.

7	Need to effectively engage with local communities and a range of local partners (inc Shared / Joint services) to deliver cost effective joined up services.	<ul> <li>Failure to provide effective community leadership.</li> <li>Loss of trust in the Council</li> <li>Inability to deliver good quality cost effective services targeted at local needs.</li> <li>Poor outcomes for local residents, due to failure to engage other agencies.</li> </ul>	3,4 <b>12</b>	2,4 8	Political Leadership Team / Chief Executive
	<ul><li>Performance Frage</li><li>The Council has organisations ser</li></ul>	in place a range of mechanisms desimework, a range of consultation events an active Partnerships Team and ving the area.  management structures are aligned to	s and the role of Elect d senior Members /	ed Members as loca Officers actively e	ıl champions.
8	Governance Arrangements including Performance, Finance and Risk Management need to be maintained in order to continue to operate effectively in a rapidly changing environment.	<ul> <li>Adverse Impact upon Service Quality.</li> <li>Failure to deliver high quality services which address national and local priorities.</li> <li>Significant adverse reputational impact.</li> </ul>	3,4 <b>12</b>	2,4 8	Chief Financial Officer / Monitoring Officer

	<ul> <li>Mitigation</li> <li>The Council has appropriate managerial arrangements in place supported by staff recruitment and training to ensure these risks are effectively managed.</li> <li>The Council has active Standards and Audit Committees which provide independent review of the Governance arrangements in the Council.</li> <li>The Annual Governance Report sets out an evidence based structured assessment of the operation of the Council's governance arrangements.</li> </ul>					
9	Staff morale / Sickness Levels adversely affected as a result of the pace of change, tightening financial circumstances or external circumstances.	<ul> <li>Deterioration in services to the public and loss of productivity.</li> <li>Loss of key staff / increased sickness levels.</li> <li>Increased pressure on other members of staff.</li> <li>Loss of 'goodwill.'</li> </ul>	3,4 <b>12</b>	3,3 <b>9</b>	SAMT / Asst Director HR	
	<ul><li>are well managed</li><li>The staff has a agenda.</li></ul>	range of communication mechanism	s in place to ensure	staff engagement	with the Council's	
	<ul> <li>The Council has reduced its emphasis of securing savings through vacancy management and seeks to bring in 'agency staff' etc as required.</li> <li>While the Council cannot control external circumstances it has continued to work with staff to mitigate the impact of these on individual employees.</li> </ul>					
10	Failure to have in place robust, comprehensive and up to date policies and procedures for	<ul> <li>Profile of safeguarding is poor</li> <li>Staff and members do not know what safeguarding is and their role within it</li> <li>Staff and members do not know</li> </ul>	4,4, 16	2,4, 8	SAMT/Political Leadership	

	safeguarding children and vulnerable adults.	<ul> <li>how to spot the signs</li> <li>Staff and members do not know how to report it and to who?</li> <li>Lack of public confidence in Council policies plans and staff</li> <li>Reputational damage</li> <li>Potential significant harm to individuals resulting from abuse and neglect of Children and/or Vulnerable Adults possibly leading to personal harm, injury and death</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>The Council has in place up to date policies for safeguarding both Children and Vulnerable Adults. These policies are aligned to DCC policies which in turn are in line with legislation, regulation and statutory duties placed on Local Authorities.</li> <li>The Council has in place and maintain systems of working practice to safeguard children and vulnerable adults at Council activities and those who receive Council services.</li> <li>Staff recognised as appropriate to do, are DBS/CRB checked</li> <li>All staff receive mandatory safeguarding training</li> <li>Safeguarding is widely promoted and embedded throughout the organisation with all staff being issued with a wallet sized 'safeguarding quick reference guide' which details what to look out for and what to do</li> <li>The Council has an internal safeguarding group which meets quarterly which has representation from all service areas of the Council.</li> <li>The Council host and Chair the Countywide Derbyshire Safeguarding Leads Sub Group of the Derbyshire Safeguarding Childrens Board and Derbyshire Safeguarding Adults Board</li> <li>The Council are represented on both the Derbyshire Safeguarding Children's Board (DSCB) and the Derbyshire Safeguarding Adults Board (DSCB)</li> </ul>					
11	Failure of NEDDC's Local Plan to be found		4,4, 16	2,4, 8	SAMT / Political Leadership	

		<del>-</del>			
	sound at	Reputational damage			
	independent examination.	<ul> <li>Loss of control of planning and development</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>The Council has successfully avoided Government intervention in the plan-making process.</li> <li>The Plan will be at independent examination and in the hands of the independent Inspector, with timescales largely outside of the Council's control. The greatest risk to any Plan at this stage is that it could be found to be unsound on a point of law, or it fails to demonstrate that it has been positively prepared and is justified. This is expected to take place during the Autumn of 2018.</li> <li>The Council has taken all reasonable steps in the preparation of the Plan to ensure that it is based on sound evidence and meets procedural and legal requirements. This has included taking external legal advice, securing an advisory visit with the Planning Inspectorate and engaging consultants to critically review the Publication Draft</li> </ul>				
12	Plan. Impact of HS2 and the electrification of the MML on environment, heritage, communities and businesses.	environmental mitigation measures will have a negative impact on the visual amenity of the district, disruption to	4,4,16	4,4, <b>16</b>	SAMT / Political Leadership
	CEX and senior management actively engaged with HS2 staff to discuss proactive business mitigation measures.				
	<ul> <li>Political leadership working with relevant community groups and agencies lobbying for enhanced mitigation measures.</li> </ul>				
	Contributing to the East Midlands HS2 growth strategy and also that we part of the mitigation study				